Important Factors in Spark Arrestor Selection

(1) **Make sure that the spark arrestor complies with NFPA 69 standard.** Request our bulletin “NFPA 69 & Quencher”.
(2) There is no such thing as an efficiency rating for spark arrestors. They either work or they don’t. Remember, it takes only one spark/ember getting through the device to cause a fire or explosion.
(3) Maximum turbulence is the key to effective spark arresting and in the selection of a spark arresting device. Some devices do not impart enough turbulence (and/or pressure drop) to be 100% effective.
(4) The recommended pressure drop for an in-line device (one that is installed in a section of the ductwork) is between 0.75 and 1.5 inches WC. Anything less is highly risky. This is a basic law of physics.
(5) Pressure drop across a QUENCHER™ style of spark arrestor is a function of the Reynolds number which is proportional to the density for air. This means that a unit can be sized smaller if operating at a higher temperature. For instance a spark arrestor operating at 440 degrees F is 2/3 the size of the typical unit applied at 70 degrees F and the pressure drop will be designed the same. This lowers the cost of the spark arrestor and ensures its effectiveness. The density is also affected by the water vapor in the gas stream. It has little effect at temperatures below 125°F but can be a major factor when operating at higher temperatures.
(6) If this unit is not kept clean, it might pose a threat; by putting an extra load on the ductwork, create a fire hazard, void the warranty. Without an automatic cell cleaner / booster system, the spark arrestor may require periodic manual cleaning. If the gas stream has dust that might drop out in the duct at the velocities in the blender style or QUENCHER™ spark arrestor, a booster must be provided to periodically remove this accumulation. The booster design is also temperature sensitive and must be altered to accommodate changing gas stream conditions.
(7) Most suppliers do not have the capability to modify the designs as referred to in item (4), (5) & (6) above.
(8) Design quirk; you cannot upsize or downsize models by simple ratio, as our competitors do. It won’t work! Each model must have its own specific blade profile, to be effective.

**Compare (below):** Quencher to Spark Cooler (Blender Products), US DUCT Spark Trap, SparkShield (Plymovent), mesh filters, OEM spark traps, static drop out baffle-box (MicroAir), cyclones, static blade spark suppressor, liquid spray systems.

**Improved In-Line Spark Arrestors**

In 2005, QAM introduced the QUENCHER™ in-line spark arrestor to a virgin market to solve the common problem of fires in ducts and dust collection systems. Employing a 60 year old spin vane mist eliminator technology developed by Hosakawa Ltd of Japan and Sly Manufacturing in the early 1960’s, led QAM to vary the blade designs to have the most effective performance, inducing maximum turbulence to the gas stream, and lowering the cost. Maximum turbulence (and the pressure drop that results from it) is the key to spark arresting. After several tests it was found that the air blending/mixer design did not impart enough turbulence and some sparks got through, especially at low gas stream velocities. Eventually, there was a specific design which imparted the most effective swirling and turbulence thereby extinguishing the sparks quickly and most effectively. In fact, during testing of the QUENCHER™, the arrestor cell would light up as a ball of fire, however, one inch past the cell nothing was left in the gas stream. These designs were incorporated into the QUENCHER™. QAM has developed special application data in which the blade angles are adjusted to produce minimum effective pressure drop for different temperatures and gas densities. To our knowledge, no one else accounts for the gas density effects on spark arrestors.

In 1960, QAM developed designs to have maximum turbulence to the gas stream, and lowering the cost. Maximum turbulence (and/or pressure drop) to be 100% effective. The maximum turbulence is the key to effective spark arresting and in the selection of a spark arresting device. Some devices do not impart enough turbulence (and/or pressure drop) to be 100% effective.

Quencher overlapping blades

“...and tested it last night. **It was quite amazing.** We put through a large continuous stream of sparks from a grinder and viewed it...”

Grant Stevens, Polex Ltd.
Blender Type Air Mixers (marketed as “spark suppressors or coolers”)
A number of these air blender/mixers have been applied with varied success as in-line spark coolers, arrestors and suppressors. Over the last several years standard air mixers have been adapted and applied between the spark generating process and dust collector. They were applied in processes where fires in the dust collectors had previously occurred. One supplier hired a consultant to develop a market for these air blender/mixers as a spark arrestor/cooler. This air blending or mixer style design was an outgrowth of mixing two gas streams of different temperatures to insure a uniform temperature after the static mixer. It was deduced that the gas stream produced turbulent flow as it passed through the blades and this was the reason it could be adapted to spark cooling. However, **these are air mixers first and spark arrestors second.** They are marketed as having low pressure drop (maximum 0.5 inch WC) through them. There are **performance limitations** because not enough turbulence (and related pressure drop) is imparted to the spark/ember. To achieve spark suppression, we need to go from laminar to highly turbulent flow in the duct which strips away the hot air envelope around the spark/ember thereby cooling it and starving it of fuel (oxygen). For air blending this is not a requirement. Also, these devices have **large gaps between the mixing blades,** when looking through the inlet and downstream of the device. These gaps can allow a percentage of sparks/embers to slip through and cause a fire or even an explosion in the dust collector.

“In the past 18 months, we have had 4 fires. One fire destroyed the exterior dust collector... We have a Blender Products Spark Cooler... We believe we either have an issue with the Blender spark arrester... I am looking to replace an existing “Blender” style spark arrester to improve the effectiveness of spark capture.”  Jim Jones

The USPTO issued the results on a patent re-exam for the two patents regarding spark suppression devices, including the Spark Cooler. The re-exam was requested by Quality Air Management. The USPTO rejected or amended most claims made by Blender Products Inc. The USPTO action is based on existing patents and prior art, including the Quencher™ spark arrester, that were not disclosed with the original patent application.

US DUCT Spark Trap (and other similar devices supplied by ducting companies)
A low value (cheap) device promoted for use with clamp together ducting, and not for more demanding industrial applications. It is very thin gauge construction (same as the clamp together duct), thus unreliable over the long term and subject to rapid wear and tear. This limits operating duct velocity to less than 3200 FPM. For any dust collection, they require an **additional drop-out collection point and sealed dustbin, causing unnecessary maintenance and defeating the purpose of the dust collector.** Needs easy access (clamp together section) for frequent clean out and maintenance. These cannot be installed vertically. Limited to maximum 24 inch duct size. **US DUCT own Disclaimer:** “no way represents...as a product that guarantees elimination of all sparks or reduction in risk of fire; designed only for light to moderate spark quantity; grindings are HIGHLY susceptible to falling out of the air stream and WILL MOST LIKELY collect in the bottom of the spark trap (Note how the air, sparks and dust is propelled to the outlet end, then must make a 180º turn back to the front of the unit where it enters the outlet tube. Much debris will jam at the back end and accumulate, eventually clogging the unit. If a live spark contacts this debris, you have a fire hazard.); the purchaser is encouraged to install other spark elimination equipment...; distributed...without express or implied warranties of merchantability or fitness...”

SPARKSHIELD by Plymovent, and other similar devices
“Virtually 100% efficiency” and “near to 100% protection” is just not good enough. It is described as a “cyclone spark arrester”, so you need to read the section below on cyclones. They require an **additional drop-out collection point and dustbin, causing unnecessary maintenance.** Needs easy access for frequent clean out and maintenance. These cannot be installed vertically. Only three sizes, with very limited capacities ranging 600 – 7000 CFM @ very high pressure drop of 2.5" WC.

Mesh Filters
This is a common stop-gap measure where the filter is placed at the exhaust duct of hoods or installed in the ductwork. When clean, the mesh filter will stop at best 80% of sparks. These filters do not produce enough pressure drop to be fully effective. It only takes one spark to ignite dust in the duct or set a dust collector on fire. The only thing these filters do is clog up and add to your maintenance.
Liquid Spray Systems

These systems are extremely costly, messy to clean up, and for many years were the only method to prevent fires caused by sparks. The system consists of electronic detectors that detect sparks and react to their presence. When a spark is detected liquid sprays are actuated and water sprayed into the duct. The sprays actually cool the gas stream below the dew point. However, in dust collection systems, the water then wets the filter bags or cartridges. This prevents fires but the gas flow is interrupted and the bags must be either replaced or dried out before the process can resume. It takes a whole day or two to dry out the bags or even to prevent blinding and replacement. The detector sensitivity can be lowered to prevent excessive actuations, but, this reduces the reliability of the systems. The detector missing a spark is an ever present danger and a fire may occur. Bag or cartridge replacement is definitely required.

We trust that the above information will enable you to evaluate and select the most suitable method and supplier for your application. Buying our QUENCHER / BOOSTER combination will give you a risk free unit, fine tuned for each application.